## APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

### **SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED	JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 03-Nov-2008
B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER:	Vicksburg District, MVK-2007-00399-JD6
C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFO	ORMATION:
State:	MS - Mississippi
County/parish/borough:	DeSoto
City:	Hernando
Lat:	34.8753907010474
Long:	-89.9918426925364
Universal Transverse Mercator	Folder UTM List
	UTM list determined by folder location
	NAD83 / UTM zone 36S
	Waters UTM List  UTM list determined by waters location
	NAD83 / UTM zone 36S
Name of nearest waterbody:	Coldwater River
Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW):	
Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC):	
Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disp  D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION:	posal sites, etc¿) are associated with the action and are recorded on a different JD form.
	•
O3-Nov-2008 Office Determination Date:	
Office Determination Date.	
Field Determination Date(s):	
Tield Determination Date(s).	
SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS	
A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISD	ICTION
There [] "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers	and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.
Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide	e.
	ed in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce
Explain:	

#### **B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.**

There [] "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.

#### 1. Waters of the U.S.

a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area:1

i. indicate presence of waters of 0.0. in review area.				
Water Name	Water Type(s) Present			
MVK-2007-399 RPW Intermittent Site 6	Relatively Permanent Waters (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs			

b. Identify (estimate	) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:
Area: (m²)	
Linear: (m)	
c. Limits (boundarie	s) of jurisdiction:
based on: [] OHWM Elevation: (if	known)
2. Non-regulated wa	ters/wetlands: <sup>3</sup>
Potentially jurisdiction	nal waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:
SECTION III: CV	VA ANALYSIS
A. TNWs AND WETL	ANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs
1.TNW	
Not Applicable.	
2. Wetland Adjacent Not Applicable.	to TNW
B. CHARACTERISTI	CS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):
1. Characteristics of	non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW
(i) General Area Cor	nditions:
Watershed size:	
Drainage area:	
Average annual rainf	
Average annual snow	viall: inches
(ii) Physical Charact	
(a) Relationship with	
Tributary flows of	
•	hrough [] tributaries before entering TNW.
:Number of tributarie	98
	] river miles from TNW.
-	] river miles from RPW.
	] aerial (straight) miles from TNW. ] aerial(straight) miles from RPW.
- Tojoot waters are [	
Project waters cross Explain:	s or serve as state boundaries.
Identify flow route to	TNW-5
activity now route to	
Tributary Stream Or	der if known:
Order	Tributary Name
2	MVK-2007-399 RPW Intermittent Site 6

# (b) General Tributary Characteristics: Tributary is:

Tributary Name	Natural	Artificial	Explain	Manipulated	Explain

MVK-2007-399 RPW Intermittent Site 6	-	-	-	X	Tributary appears to have been straightened above the project location, as it runs through and along the eastern edge of agricultural fields.
---	---	---	---	---	---

Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):

Tributary Name	Width (ft)	Depth (ft)	Side Slopes
MVK-2007-399 RPW Intermittent Site 6	35	-	-

Primary tributary substrate composition:

Tributary Name	Silt	Sands	Concrete	Cobble	Gravel	Muck	Bedrock	Vegetation	Other
MVK-2007-399 RPW Intermittent Site 6		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Tributary (conditions, stability, presence, geometry, gradient):

Tributary Name	Condition\Stability	Run\Riffle\Pool Complexes	Geometry	Gradient (%)
MVK-2007-399 RPW Intermittent Site 6	N/A	Provides for intermittent flow, at least 3 months out of the year.	Relatively straight	-

(c) Flow:

Tributary Name	Provides for	Events Per Year	Flow Regime	Duration & Volume
MVK-2007-399 RPW Intermittent Site 6	Seasonal flow	20 (or greater)	Tributary flows through and along the edge of agricultural fields, and eventually into the Coldwater River, the TNW.	-

#### Surface Flow is:

Tributary Name	Surface Flow	Characteristics
MVK-2007-399 RPW Intermittent Site 6	Confined	-

#### **Subsurface Flow:**

Tributary Name	Subsurface Flow	Explain Findings	Dye (or other) Test
MVK-2007-399 RPW Intermittent Site 6	-	-	-

**Tributary has:** 

Tributary Name	Bed & Banks	OHWM	Discontinuous OHWM <sup>7</sup>	Explain
MVK-2007-399 RPW Intermittent Site 6	X	X	-	-

Tributaries with OHWM<sup>6</sup> - (as indicated above)

ITIDUIATIES WILL	II OIIVVI	n - (as	illuice	aleu abuv	<del>-</del> )								
Tributary Name	OHWM	Clear	Litter	Changes in Soil	Destruction Vegetation	Shelving	Wrack Line	Matted\Absent Vegetation	Sediment Sorting	Leaf Litter	Scour	Sediment Deposition	Flow Ev
MVK-2007- 399 RPW Intermittent Site 6	х	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	-	-	-

#### If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction:

#### High Tide Line indicated by:

Not Applicable.

#### Mean High Water Mark indicated by:

Not Applicable.

#### (iii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality;general watershed characteristics, etc.).

Tributary Name	Explain	Identify specific pollutants, if known
MVK-2007-399 RPW Intermittent Site 6	-	-

(iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports:

Tributary Name	Riparian Corridor	Characteristics	Wetland Fringe	Characteristics	Habitat
MVK-2007-399 RPW Intermittent Site 6	-	-	x	At the project location the tributary has forested wetlands along bothe sides, below the project location forested wetlands are present along the eastern edge of the tributary with agricultural fields being along the western edge.	-

- 2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW
- (i) Physical Characteristics:
- (a) General Wetland Characteristics:

**Properties:** 

Not Applicable.

(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:

Flow is:

Not Applicable.

Surface flow is:

Not Applicable.

Subsurface flow:

Not Applicable.

(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:

Not Applicable.

(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW:

Not Applicable.

(ii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). Not Applicable.

(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports:

Not Applicable.

3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any):

All wetlands being considered in the cumulative analysis:

Not Applicable.

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:

Not Applicable.

#### C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Significant Nexus: Not Applicable

#### D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE:

#### 1. TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands:

Not Applicable.

2. RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:

Wetland Name	Flow	Explain
MVK-2007-399 RPW Intermittent Site 6	SEASONAL	At least 3 months out of the year.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:

Wetland Name	Туре	Size (Linear) (m)	Size (Area) (m²)
MVK-2007-399 RPW Intermittent Site 6	Relatively Permanent Waters (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs	15.24	-
Total:		15.24	0

## 3. Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:8

Not Applicable.

#### Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:

Not Applicable.

#### 4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Not Applicable.

#### Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:

Not Applicable.

#### 5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:

Not Applicable.

#### Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:

Not Applicable.

#### 6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:

Not Applicable.

#### Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:

Not Applicable.

#### 7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters:9

Not Applicable.

# E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS:<sup>10</sup>

Not Applicable.

#### Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:

Not Applicable.

#### Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:

Not Applicable.

#### F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS. INCLUDING WETLANDS

If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements:
Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce:
Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based soley on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR):
Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (Explain):
Other (Explain):
Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (ie., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment:  Not Applicable.
Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction.  Not Applicable.

#### SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.

#### A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD

(listed items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference below): Not Applicable.

#### **B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:**

Not Applicable.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>-Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>-For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>-Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>-Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>-Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>-A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>-Ibid.

<sup>8-</sup>See Footnote #3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>-To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>-Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.